

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/490,354	KOBAYASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kambiz Zand	2132	

All Participants:

(1) Kambiz Zand.

(2) Arik B. Ranson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 February 2006

Time: 10 AM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

final rejection

Claims discussed:

independent claims and claims 2, 39 and 48.

Prior art documents discussed:

prior art of records

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's attorney that applicant's after-final arguments are persuasive with respect to some of the claims but not with respect to claims 1, 34, 38, 45, 49, 50-51 unless incorporation of dependent claims limitations be part of the claims. Mr. Ranson explained the invention in detailed and per consultation with the applicant agreed on the following terms:

a) incorporation of added limitations into disputed independent claims as it appears in the examiner amendment (see enclosed office action).

b) cancellation of claims 30, 32, 39 and 48.

c) It was agreed the changed would be done by examiner amendment in order to expedite the process of examination. Therefore based on the above agreement and applicant's arguments filed on 01/19/2006 and arguments presented on the interview on 02/07/2006 the remaining claims 1-24, 26-29, 33-38, 40-45 and 49-55 are allowed..